

# Notes on Harmonic Analysis

Jan. 8, 1963.  
Dear Jacques:  
Just some notes!  
A. B.

Def.

41

$\mathbb{R}^m$  is called uniformly discrete if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that no sphere of radius  $\delta/2$  contains more than one point  $\in \Lambda$ .

Def. The lower uniform density (l.u.d.) of a  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is

$$(1) \quad \text{l.u.d. } \Lambda = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \inf_x \sum_{\substack{x \leq \lambda < x+r \\ \lambda \in \Lambda}} 1 \right\}$$

(The limit does always exist because  $\{ \}$  is a superadditive function of  $r$ )

Theorem I Let  $E_a$  denote the set of entire functions  $f(z)$  such that

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(z)|}{|z|} \leq a\pi \quad (a > 0 \text{ given})$$

and let  $\Lambda$  be a uniformly discrete set on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The necessary and sufficient condition that there exists a finite constant  $K = K(\Lambda, a)$  such that  $f \in E_a$  and  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  on  $\Lambda$ , imply  $|f(x)| \leq K$  for all real  $x$ , is that

$$(3) \quad \underline{\text{l.u.d. } \Lambda} > a$$

We shall only <sup>need</sup> the sufficiency of (3). The proof requires these definitions. Set  $[x, \Lambda] = \text{dist.}(x, \Lambda)$  and observe that  $[x, \Lambda]$  is unif. Lip. 1.

Def. A sequence of closed sets  $\{\Lambda_n\}_1^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\Lambda$  if  $[x, \Lambda_n] \rightarrow [x, \Lambda]$  pointwise (and thus uniformly on compact sets)

# Notes on Harmonic Analysis

Jan. 8, 1963.  
Dear Jacques:  
Just some notes!  
A. B.

Def. A closed set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is called uniformly discrete if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that no sphere of radius  $\delta$  contains more than one point  $\in \Lambda$ .

Def. The lower uniform density (l.u.d.) of a  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is

$$(1) \text{ l.u.d. } \Lambda = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \inf_x \sum_{\substack{x \leq \lambda < x+r \\ \lambda \in \Lambda}} 1 \right\}$$

(The limit does always exist ~~because~~ because  $\{ \}$  is a superaddition function of  $r$ )

Theorem I Let  $E_a$  denote the set of entire functions  $f(z)$  such that

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(z)|}{|z|} \leq \alpha \pi \quad (\alpha > 0 \text{ given})$$

and let  $\Lambda$  be a uniformly discrete set on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The necessary and sufficient condition that there exists a finite constant  $K = K(\Lambda, \alpha)$  such that  $f \in E_a$  and  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  on  $\Lambda$ , imply  $|f(x)| \leq K$  for all real  $x$ , is that

$$(3) \quad \text{l.u.d. } \Lambda > \alpha$$

We shall only <sup>need</sup> (the sufficiency of (3)). The proof requires these definitions. Set  $[x, \Lambda] = \text{dist.}(x, \Lambda)$  and observe that  $[x, \Lambda]$  is unif. Lip. 1.

Def. A sequence of closed sets  $\{\Lambda_n\}_1^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\Lambda$  if  $[x, \Lambda_n] \rightarrow [x, \Lambda]$  pointwise (and thus uniformly on compact sets)

$\{\Lambda_n\}$  converges to the empty set if  $[x, \Lambda_n] \rightarrow \infty$ .

Obvious properties: Each sequence  $\{\Lambda_n\}_n^{\infty}$  contains a subsequence converging weakly to a set  $\Lambda$  (empty or not). Let  $\Lambda^\tau$  denote the  $\tau$ -translate of  $\Lambda$  and let  $W(\Lambda)$  be the collection of all weak limits of translates of  $\Lambda$ . For each  $\Lambda_0 \in W(\Lambda)$

(4)  $\text{l.u.d. } \Lambda_0 \geq \text{l.u.d. } \Lambda$

Proof of the sufficiency of (3). Assume that our conditions imply that

(5)  $\|f\| = \sup_{-n \leq x \leq n} |f(x)| < \infty$

An application of the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle to the half planes  $y > 0$  and  $y < 0$  yields

$$|f(x+iy)| \leq \|f\| e^{a\pi|y|}$$

If therefore (5) holds for  $f \in E_a$ , ~~then~~  $f$  bounded on  $\Lambda$ , then these functions form a Banach space with norm  $\|f\|$ . But

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda} \equiv \sup_{x \in \Lambda} |f(x)| \leq \|f\|$$

By the closed graph theorem there exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda} \leq \|f\| \leq K \|f\|_{\Lambda}$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove (5).

Assume  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup |f(x)| = \infty$ . We have

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ z \log z \} = \begin{cases} x \log x & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} |y| & \text{on imag. axis.} \end{cases}$$

Define for  $0 < \varepsilon$

$$(7) \quad \sup_{x > 0} \{ \log |f(x)| - \varepsilon x \log x \} = \rho_\varepsilon$$

Then  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \inf \rho_\varepsilon = \infty$ . Let  $x_\varepsilon$  denote the largest number  $x$  such that

$$(8) \quad \log |f(x)| - \varepsilon x \log x = \rho_\varepsilon$$

clearly:  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = \infty$ . Set

$$(9) \quad g_\varepsilon(z) = f(z) e^{-\varepsilon z \log z - \rho_\varepsilon}, \quad x > 0$$

Then

$$(10) \quad |g_\varepsilon(x)| \leq 1 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(11) \quad |g_\varepsilon(x)| \leq e^{-\rho_\varepsilon}, \quad x \in \Lambda, x \geq 1.$$

For each  $b > a$ ,

$$|f(iy)| \leq M(b) e^{b\pi|y|} \quad (M(b) = M < \infty)$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad |g_\varepsilon(iy)| \leq M e^{(b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\pi|y|}$$

By (10) and (12) it follows that: (Phragmén-Lindelöf in two quadrants)

$$(13) \quad |g_\varepsilon(x+iy)| \leq M e^{(b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\pi|y|}, \quad x \geq 0$$

and this implies

(14)  $|g'_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \text{const.} \quad (x \geq 1, \varepsilon > 0).$

There exists now a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , such that the functions  $g_{\varepsilon_n}(z - x_{\varepsilon_n})$  converges to an entire function  $h(z)$ , which by virtue of (13) and the fact that  $x_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \infty$ , must belong to  $E_b$ . We may also assume that the translates  $\Delta^{x_{\varepsilon_n}}$  converges weakly to some set  $\Lambda_0 \in W(\Lambda)$ . By the definition of  $x_\varepsilon$  it follows that  $|h(0)| = 1$ .

By the equicontinuity (14) it follows that  $h = 0$  on  $\Lambda_0$ .

Summing up:  $h(z)$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\leq b\pi$  vanishing on a uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda_0$  with l.u.d.  $\Lambda_0 > a$ .

It is well known that this implies  $h \equiv 0$  if (according to (4))  $b < \text{l.u.d. } \Lambda_0$ .

But we can choose  $b$  so that  $a < b < \text{l.u.d. } \Lambda_0$ . This contradiction to  $|h(0)| = 1$  proves that  $f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The proof of the sufficiency of (3) is complete.

Application: Let  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda''$  be neg. def. functions on  $J$  such that  $\lambda'(m), \lambda''(m) \neq 0$  for  $m \neq 0$ . Let  $F$  be a closed set  $C[-a\pi, a\pi]$ ,  $a < 1$  and let  $T$  be a distribution on  $F$  with

$$\|T\|^2 = \sum_{m \neq 0} |\hat{T}(m)|^2 \frac{\lambda'(m)}{\lambda''(m)} < \infty$$

$\hat{T}_n$  is the restriction <sup>to</sup>  $\mathbb{R}$  of an entire function  $f(z) \in E_a$ . We also know that

(16) 
$$\frac{\lambda'_n}{\lambda''_n} \geq \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\delta}{n^2}$$

on a set of integers  $\Lambda$  such that l.u.d.  $\Lambda > a$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. By (15)

(17) 
$$|f(x)| \leq \|T\| \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta}} |x| \quad \text{on } \Lambda$$

By a modification of the proof of the previous theorem it follows that  $K$  exists such that (17) implies

(18) 
$$|f(n)| \leq \frac{\|T\| K}{\sqrt{\delta}} |n|, \quad n \neq 0$$

~~Entire functions  $f \in E_a$  satisfying (18) form a countable set (if  $\|T\|$  bounded), or equivalently~~

(19) 
$$|f(n)| \leq \|T\| K' (1+|n|) \quad \text{all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By this we conclude that if  $\{\hat{T}_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence  $\subset \mathcal{H}_F$ , then the entire functions  $\hat{T}_n(z)$  converges to an entire function which is the transform of a distribution with support in  $F$ .  $\mathcal{H}_F$  is therefore complete.

Good Night!